

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/609,578	06/30/2000	Roger K. Kulle	12465US01	1790
75	90 06/25/2002			
Joseph M Barich			EXAMINER	
McAndrews Held & Malloy Ltd 500 West Madison Street			EASTHOM, KARL D	
34th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60661-2511			2832	

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/609,578

Applicant(s)

Kulle

· Office Action Summary

Examiner

Karl Easthom

Art Unit 2832



`	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE M - Extension - Extension - If the period of the perio	PREPLY IS SET TO CALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. IN IT	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
C4-4		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
201	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action	on is non-final.
3) 🗔	Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex part</i>	ccept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims	is/are pending in the application.
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	tal Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
ج،	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	0 = 0 11 15 17 and 18	is/are rejected.
6) X	Claim(s) <u>4, 10, and 16</u>	is/are objected to.
7) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>4, 10, and 16</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
8)	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ation Papers	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	epicoted to by the Examiner.
10)		approved by disapproved by the Extension
12)		
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign portion of the control of the	
a)	1 Cortified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2 Cortified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No.
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority deapplication from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	documents have been received in this National Stage beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
	The examplation of the foreign language provision	al application has been received.
15)[Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
	hment(s)	
1) X	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	
3) Y	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/609578

Art Unit: 2832

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peterson. Peterson discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 8 with Hall sensor 134, 135 and magnets of opposite polarity 132, 133, with switch housing 94, and carriage 119h.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 7, 13, 17, and 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura. Nakamura discloses the invention at Fig. 2 with magnets 1, 3 of opposite polarity in contact. Or for claim 7, magnets 2, 3 are of opposite polarity.
- 4. Claims 7, 9, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Padula et al. Padula discloses the claimed invention at Fig 1. with two magnets 58, 60 having opposite polarity, return spring 50, and hall sensor 36.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Padula ct al. in view of Garneyer et al. Padula discloses the claimed invention except the boot seal.

 Garneyer et al. discloses boot seal 11 for keeping unwanted fluids out of the housing at col. 3,

Application/Control Number: 09/609578

Art Unit: 2832

lines 20-36.. In view of Garneyer, it would have been obvious to use a seal for that purpose in the device of Padula.

- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garneyer et al. in view of Peterson or Kuhrt (Kuhrt applies only for claims 7 and 13 and dependent claims therefrom). Garneyer discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 2 except the magnets of opposite polarity touching each other. Peterson at Fig. 8 discloses the magnets of opposite polarity touching for the purpose of sending different signals to different Hall switch devices. Kuhrt discloses magnets 1,2 opposing each other in order to maximize the field as seen by the Hall device. It would have been obvious to employ the scheme where multiple Hall devices and magnets are disclosed and suggested at col. 4, lines 1-12 of Garneyer. The multiple magnets meet claims 12 and 17. The spring is 4a, with Hall switches and magnets 8a, 7a, actuating members 3 and housing 1. The seal is 11, 12.
- 8. Claims 4, 10 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthom whose telephone number is (703)308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Elvin Enad. can be reached on (703)308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KARL D. EASTHOM PRIMARY EXAMINER